

UAB-FT-398
L.P.T.H.E.-ORSAY 96/73
September 1996
hep-ph/9609423

Improved Bounds on the Electromagnetic Dipole Moments of the Tau Lepton

R. Escribano^a and E. Massó^{a,b}

^aGrup de Física Teòrica and IFAE, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona,
E-08193 Bellaterra, Spain

^bLPTHE, bât. 211, Université Paris XI, Orsay Cedex, France*

Abstract

Using electroweak data and an effective Lagrangian approach we obtain stringent bounds on the tau anomalous magnetic moment, $-0.004 \leq a_\tau \leq 0.006$, and on its electric dipole moment, $|d_\tau| \leq 1.1 \times 10^{-17} e \text{ cm}$. This significantly improves our previous bounds. With the same method, we obtain limits on the dipole moments of other fermions.

*Laboratoire associé au C.N.R.S. — URA D0063.

1 Introduction

Tau lepton physics (see Ref.'s [1] and the report of K. Hayes in [2]) provides a laboratory to test ideas and models beyond the Standard Model such as compositeness and/or new sources of CP-violation.

In particular, the tau magnetic and electric dipole moments may play an important role in elucidating the nature of this heavy lepton. From the general electromagnetic matrix element describing the interaction of a τ lepton with photons

$$\langle p' | J_{em}^\mu(0) | p \rangle = e \bar{u}(p') \left(F_1 \gamma^\mu + \left[\frac{i}{2m_\tau} F_2 + \gamma_5 \tilde{F}_2 \right] \sigma^{\mu\nu} q_\nu \right) u(p) , \quad (1)$$

where $q = p' - p$ and $F_1(q^2 = 0) = 1$, one defines the tau anomalous magnetic dipole moment

$$a_\tau = \frac{g_\tau - 2}{2} = F_2(q^2 = 0) , \quad (2)$$

and the tau electric dipole moment (EDM)

$$d_\tau = e \tilde{F}_2(q^2 = 0) . \quad (3)$$

In the Standard Model (SM), the tau is considered to be a spin-1/2 point-like Dirac particle. A theoretical SM calculation [3] predicts the value

$$a_\tau|_{SM} = 1.177 \times 10^{-3} , \quad (4)$$

and a very small value for d_τ , the precise value depending on unknown leptonic mixing angles and neutrino masses [4].

Up to now, the most stringent way to constrain the electromagnetic dipole moments a_τ and d_τ has shown to be the use of the method we developed in Ref. [5]. The idea is the following. The addition of new physics to the SM could lead to values for a_τ different from the value of Eq. (4) or to d_τ being larger than the SM predicts. We describe potential deviations from the SM induced by some kind of new physics by a set of effective Lagrangians that contain gauge-invariant operators contributing to the tau dipole moments. Such effective Lagrangians inevitably induce anomalous couplings of the Z to the tau lepton, which would contribute to the partial width $\Gamma_\tau \equiv \Gamma(Z \rightarrow \tau\bar{\tau})$. The tight constraints of LEP1 data on deviations from the SM can be used to get model independent bounds on the electromagnetic dipole moments.

It is well known that the impressive accuracy of the LEP1 measurements makes necessary the inclusion of radiative corrections when comparing theory and experiment. The theoretical SM prediction for Γ_τ —which is an input in our analysis— depends on the top quark and Higgs masses, m_t and m_H . When we worked out the above mentioned idea in 1992 there was only an experimental lower bound on m_t [6]; therefore a two-parameter analysis was inevitable. (One of the parameters was m_t and the other took into account the presence of new physics.) In the meanwhile, evidence for the top quark [7] has shown up and the corresponding m_t measurements [8] allow us to considerably improve our previous bounds by directly comparing the SM

prediction for Γ_τ with its experimental value. In addition, we now have more data at our disposal including the 1995 run [9]. The substantial increase in the precision of the experimental measurements also improves our bounds. The main purpose of this letter is to show that the bounds on a_τ and d_τ are tightened significantly when we use the same ideas as [5] but employ the new experimental data. Using the same method, we find upper limits on the dipole moments of some other fermions. In this case we also achieve an improvement with respect to the limits presented in Ref. [10].

In this letter, we will show the formulae obtained in the framework of a linearly realized effective Lagrangian. In Ref. [10] it was shown that a non-linearly realized effective Lagrangian would lead to the same numerical limits for the dipole moments.

2 Bounds on tau lepton dipole moments

The lowest dimension gauge-invariant operators built from the SM fields that contribute to the tau anomalous magnetic dipole moment a_τ are:

$$\mathcal{O}_{\tau B} = \overline{L}_\tau \sigma^{\mu\nu} \tau_R \Phi B_{\mu\nu} , \quad (5)$$

and

$$\mathcal{O}_{\tau W} = \overline{L}_\tau \sigma^{\mu\nu} \vec{\sigma} \tau_R \Phi \vec{W}_{\mu\nu} . \quad (6)$$

Here, L_τ is the τ left-handed isodoublet, τ_R its right-handed partner, $\vec{W}, B$ are the SU(2) and U(1) field strengths, and Φ is the scalar doublet. Contributions to a_τ appear below the weak scale due to spontaneous symmetry breaking,

$$\Phi \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} + \dots \quad (7)$$

with $v^2 = 1/(\sqrt{2} G_F) \simeq (246 \text{ GeV})^2$.

Let us examine the consequences of the operator $\mathcal{O}_{\tau B}$ in (5). An effective Lagrangian containing this operator, namely

$$\delta\mathcal{L} = \frac{\alpha_{\tau B}}{\Lambda^2} \mathcal{O}_{\tau B} , \quad (8)$$

where an unknown coupling constant $\alpha_{\tau B}$ and an unknown high-energy mass scale Λ are introduced, would contribute to a_τ as

$$a_\tau = a_\tau|_{SM} + \delta a_\tau , \quad (9)$$

and simultaneously would contribute to Γ_τ as

$$\Gamma_\tau = \Gamma_\tau|_{SM} + \delta\Gamma_\tau . \quad (10)$$

Both contributions are related in the following manner:

$$\frac{e}{2m_\tau} |\delta a_\tau| = \cot \theta_w \left[\frac{24\pi}{M_Z^3 \sqrt{1 - 4 \left(\frac{m_\tau}{M_Z} \right)^2}} |\delta\Gamma_\tau| \right]^{1/2} , \quad (11)$$

where θ_w is the weak mixing angle. It is important to realize that the relation (11) is independent of $\alpha_{\tau B}$ and Λ . This fact allows us to place a bound on a_τ in a model independent way.

The SM theoretical prediction is given by [12]

$$\Gamma_\tau|_{SM} = 83.78 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.05 \text{ MeV} , \quad (12)$$

where the first error comes from using the interval $m_t = 175 \pm 6 \text{ GeV}$ for the top mass [8] and the second corresponds to the interval $m_H = 150_{-90}^{+150} \text{ GeV}$ for the Higgs mass [9]. To be conservative, we add these two “theoretical errors” linearly. The prediction (12) has to be compared with the experimental value [9]

$$\Gamma_\tau|_{exp} = 83.72 \pm 0.26 \text{ MeV} . \quad (13)$$

The agreement between experiment and the SM prediction for Γ_τ restricts potential new physics contributions to the tau anomalous magnetic moment. At the 95% CL we find the upper bound

$$|\delta a_\tau| \leq 0.0048 . \quad (14)$$

The same analysis can be done using now the operator in (6). We get a stronger upper bound on δa_τ (by a factor of about 3). Thus, we conclude that our bound on the tau anomalous magnetic moment is the one given in (14). In so doing, we exclude unnatural cancellations between the effects of the two independent operators $\mathcal{O}_{\tau B}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\tau W}$.

Combining (14) with the SM prediction (4), we finally obtain our 95% CL bound on the tau magnetic dipole moment

$$-0.004 \leq a_\tau \leq 0.006 \quad (2\sigma) , \quad (15)$$

which improves our previous limit [5] by a factor of about two.

Now we turn our attention to the tau EDM, d_τ . There are also two relevant operators

$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\tau B} = \overline{L}_\tau \sigma^{\mu\nu} i\gamma_5 \tau_R \Phi B_{\mu\nu} , \quad (16)$$

and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\tau W} = \overline{L}_\tau \sigma^{\mu\nu} i\gamma_5 \vec{\sigma} \tau_R \Phi \vec{W}_{\mu\nu} . \quad (17)$$

To place a bound on d_τ , we could follow a similar procedure as we followed for a_τ . Indeed, an effective Lagrangian containing the operator $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\tau B}$

$$\delta\mathcal{L} = \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_{\tau B}}{\tilde{\Lambda}^2} \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\tau B} , \quad (18)$$

contributes to the EDM and modifies Γ_τ . The limits on Γ_τ imply the bound $|d_\tau| \leq 2.7 \times 10^{-17} \text{ e cm}$ (95% CL).

However, we get a much better bound if we use the results from the search for CP violation in the decay $Z \rightarrow \tau\bar{\tau}$ at LEP [13, 14]. Effects coming from a possible CP-violating $Z\tau\bar{\tau}$ vertex

$$\langle p' | J_Z^\mu(0) | p \rangle = e \bar{u}(p') \tilde{F}_2^w \sigma^{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 q_\nu u(p) , \quad (19)$$

have not been observed. This negative result implies a limit on the weak dipole moment of the tau lepton, d_τ^w , defined as

$$d_\tau^w = e \tilde{F}_2^w(q^2 = M_Z^2) . \quad (20)$$

Using the effective Lagrangian (18) yields a simple relationship between the electric and the weak dipole moments:

$$|d_\tau| = \cot \theta_w |d_\tau^w| . \quad (21)$$

The most stringent limit obtained at LEP for d_τ^w is [14] $|d_\tau^w| \leq 5.8 \times 10^{-18} e \text{ cm}$ at 95% CL. Using now (21), we get the following upper limit on the tau EDM

$$|d_\tau| \leq 1.1 \times 10^{-17} e \text{ cm} \quad (2\sigma) , \quad (22)$$

which improves our previous bound [5] by a factor of about four.

The effective Lagrangian built with the second operator in (17) leads to a stronger limit on d_τ so that we keep the bound in (22) as our limit. In so doing, we do not allow for unnatural cancellations between the operators (16) and (17).

3 Bounds on other fermions dipole moments

The procedure used to constrain a_τ can obviously be extended to other fermions. For the magnetic moment of the tau neutrino we get an upper bound

$$|\mu(\nu_\tau)| \leq 2.7 \times 10^{-6} \mu_B \quad (2\sigma) , \quad (23)$$

where $\mu_B = e/2m_e$ is the Bohr magneton. For the quarks, we obtain (at 95% CL)

$$\begin{aligned} |a_u| &\leq 1.8 \times 10^{-5} , \\ |a_d| &\leq 7.3 \times 10^{-5} , \\ |a_s| &\leq 1.5 \times 10^{-3} , \\ |a_c| &\leq 4.8 \times 10^{-3} , \\ |a_b| &\leq 3.1 \times 10^{-2} , \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where each anomalous magnetic moment is normalized to $e/2m_q$, where m_q is the so-called current-quark mass of each quark. (We take $m_u = 5 \text{ MeV}$, $m_d = 10 \text{ MeV}$, $m_s = 200 \text{ MeV}$, $m_c = 1.3 \text{ GeV}$, $m_b = 4.3 \text{ GeV}$.) The bounds on anomalous magnetic moments that we obtain for the other fermions are much weaker than the ones available in the literature (see [2, 10] and references therein) and so we do not quote them.

For the EDM's of fermions other than the tau we may use the restrictions from the corresponding Z partial width to constrain them. However, all the limits we obtain are much weaker than the ones reached by other methods (see [2, 10] and references therein) except for the ν_τ EDM. Here we find

$$|d(\nu_\tau)| \leq 5.2 \times 10^{-17} e \text{ cm} \quad (2\sigma) . \quad (25)$$

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge financial support from the CICYT AEN95-0815 Research Project and from the Theoretical Astroparticle Network under the EEC Contract No. CHRX-CT93-0120 (Direction Generale 12 COMA). We thank F. Teubert and M. Martínez for their comments and helpful collaboration in providing the most recent LEP1 data. We are indebted to M. J. Lavelle for a critical reading of the manuscript. R. E. acknowledges financial support by an F. P. I. grant from the Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona. E. M. acknowledges financial support from the Dirección General de Investigación Científica y Enseñanza Superior (DGICYES). E. M. is grateful to Michel Fontannaz, Lluís Oliver, Olivier Pène, and to the Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Hautes Energies of the Université Paris XI for their hospitality while part of this work was performed.

References

- [1] M. L. Perl, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **55** (1992) 653;
S. Gentile and M. Pohl, CERN-PPE-95-147 (1995), (submitted to *Phys. Rep.* **C**);
M. W. Grünewald, HUB-IEP-95-13 (1995), (submitted to *Phys. Scripta*).
- [2] *Review of Particle Properties*, *Phys. Rev.* **D54** (1996) 1.
- [3] M. A. Samuel, G. Li and R. Mendel, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67** (1991) 668.
- [4] J. Donoghue, *Phys. Rev.* **D8** (1978) 1632.
- [5] R. Escribano and E. Massó, *Phys. Lett.* **B301** (1993) 419.
- [6] F. Abe *et al.*, CDF Collaboration, *Phys. Rev.* **D43** (1991) 2070.
- [7] F. Abe *et al.*, CDF Collaboration, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74** (1995) 2626;
S. Abachi *et al.*, D0 Collaboration, *Phys. Rev.* **D52** (1995) 4877.
- [8] P. Grannis, *Measurement of the mass of the Top Quark from CDF/D0*, talk presented at ICHEP96, Warsaw, 25–31 July 1996.
- [9] M. W. Grünewald, *Combined Precision Electroweak Results from LEP/SLC*, talk presented at ICHEP96, Warsaw, 25–31 July 1996.
- [10] R. Escribano and E. Massó, *Nucl. Phys.* **B429** (1994) 19.
- [11] C. J. C. Burges and H. J. Schnitzer, *Nucl. Phys* **228B** (1983) 464;
C. N. Leung, S. T. Love and S. Rao, *Z. Phys.* **C31** (1986) 433;
W. Buchmüller and D. Wyler, *Nucl. Phys.* **268B** (1986) 621.

- [12] BHM Electroweak library: G. Burgers, W. Hollik and M. Martínez, Fortran program based on the Proceedings of the Workshop on Z Physics at LEP I, CERN Report 89-08 Vol. I, 7. These computer codes have been recently been upgraded by including the results of the *Reports of the working group on precision calculations for the Z resonance*, eds. D. Bardin, W. Hollik and G. Passarino, CERN Yellow Report 95-03.
- [13] R. Akers *et al.* OPAL collaboration, *Z. Phys.* **C66** (1995) 31; A. Stahl, *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **40** (1995) 505.
- [14] L. Silvestris, *A Test of CP-Invariance in $Z \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$* , talk presented at ICHEP96, Warsaw, 25–31 July 1996.